

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Retrieval of cloud thermodynamic phase with SWIR imaging spectroscopy

David R. Thompson ¹ Ian McCubbin ^{1,2} Bo-Cai Gao ³ Robert O. Green ¹ Kerry Meyer ⁴ Steven Platnick ⁴ Eric Wilcox ²

¹ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology
² Desert Research Institute
³ Naval Research Laboratory
⁴ Goddard Space Flight Center

Copyright 2015 California Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. US Government Support Acknowledged.

Cloud phase has a large impact on radiative forcing

Clouds cover 50% of Earth's surface (Mercury et al, RSE 2012)

Water clouds reflect, ice clouds absorb (Wolters et al., JAMC 2008)

Cloud phase estimation is a prerequisite to other retrievals such as particle size





Existing VSWIR methods use band ratios

Typically normalized ratios in the 1600 nm region Where absorption coefficients of liquid and ice differ

Examples Erlich et al, 2008 Knap et al., 2002 Jakel et al., 2013 Chylek et al., 2004 Chylek et al., 2006





Can we retrieve thermodynamic phase using spectral data? We investigate in simulation:

- LibRadTran package (Mayer and Kylling, 2005)
- **DISORT radiative transfer** solver (Stamnes et al. 1988).
- Liquid cloud properties from Mie theory
- Ice cloud properties assume randomly-oriented, roughened ice particles (Baum et al., 2014; Heymsfield et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013).
- Solar irradiance spectrum of Kurucz (1994)
- **Gas absorption** using REPTRAN parametrization (Gasteiger et al., 2014).



Sanity check: Simulations vs. AVIRIS-C data

AVIRIS-C Flightline f150205r19







Retrieval by fitting H₂O absorption

- Fit vapor, liquid and ice "equivalent absorption path lengths" [Gao & Goetz 1995]
- Linearized nonnegative least squares [Thompson et al., RSE 2015 (in press)]



Gao, B.C., & Goetz, A.F. Remote Sensing of Environ. 52(3), 1995..













Example fit for ice cloud







Spectroscopic retrievals vs. status quo methods

Error bars show 1-sigma deviation for varying surface albedo and particle sizes

Method	R^2
Ehrlich et al. (2008)	0.220
Knap et al. (2002)	0.628
Jäkel et al. (2013)	0.746
Chylek and Borel (2004)	0.249
Chylek et al. (2006)	0.463
log EWT ratio	0.986





AVIRIS-C (2 Feb 2015 run 19)



AGB Reflectance 6/18/2015 Ice Liquid Vapor

Pressure altitude (1-4km)

david.r.thompson@jpl.nasa.gov

Next steps

In situ validation Investigation of other retrievals (particle size, e.g.)





Thanks!

The AVIRIS-C team The Calwater-2 investigation







Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Backup slides

Backup

Cloud reflectance in visible and SWIR, vs. particle size and optical depth

Visible wavelengths are sensitive to optical depth

SWIR is sensitive to particle size

Figure from [Wolters et al., JAMC 2008]



FIG. 2. Modeled 0.6- and 1.6- μ m reflectances for $\theta_0 = 19^\circ$, $\theta = 28^\circ$, and $\phi - \phi_0 = 100^\circ$. Cloud optical thickness is denoted by various vertically oriented lines, and the effective radius is denoted by horizontally oriented lines. Water particles are represented in the top part of the graph, and ice particles are shown in the bottom part.

