


# **Mapping quantities of surface radiation budget from AVIRIS and MASTER data**

*Dongdong Wang, Shunlin Liang, Tao He, Qingqing Shi*

Department of Geographical Sciences  
University of Maryland, College Park



Chapin et al. 2008

- The land surface responds to climate variability and also modulates the climate through the exchange of energy, matter and momentum.
- Funded by the NASA HyspIRI program, we have developed algorithms to estimate a series of surface radiation budget components from the airborne AVIRIS and MASTER data.


# Objectives

*Quantification of the variations in land surface radiation and energy budget over different land cover types in response of climate variability from the AVIRIS and MASTER data to support the development of the HyspIRI mission.*


1. Mapping the surface radiation and energy budget components from both AVIRIS and MASTER data.
  - Algorithm development/refinement
  - Algorithm and data validation
  - Mapping of surface radiation and energy budget components
2. Quantifying the variations in surface energy budget of different surface types.
  - Mapping land cover types from AVIRIS and MASTER data
  - Assessing variations in those surface radiation and energy budget components of different cover types under various climate conditions
  - Addressing a set of scientific questions using these datasets

NASA Grant, **Characterizing land surface energy budget under varying climatic conditions from the AVIRIS and MASTER data**, Program Manager: Woody Turner, 2012-2015

## Task 1



## Task 2




$$R_n = R_n^s + R_n^l = (1 - \alpha)F_d^s + F_d^l - \sigma\epsilon T^4$$


↓ Net radiation  
 albedo      Insolation  
 Longwave downward radiation      Emissivity  
 Skin temperature

## Radiation budget

### Energy budget



$$R_n = H + ET + G$$






Inter-comparison of 30-year global albedo climatology derived from satellite products and CMIP5 model outputs.


Model mean: 0.21  
Standard dev.: 0.02  
MODIS: 0.24



# Land surface albedo trend in the past decades



Trends in January albedo (per decade):  
GLASS: 0.0029\*\*\*  
GEWEX: 0.0047  
ISCCP: N/A  
CLARA-SAL: 0.0002



Trends in July albedo (per decade):  
GLASS: -0.0013\*\*\*  
GEWEX: -0.0053  
ISCCP: -0.0086\*\*\*  
CLARA-SAL: N/A


Long-term trend in surface albedo over the Northern Hemisphere

(He, et al., 2014. JGR)



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND


# Direct estimation of surface albedo



Liang, S., (2003), A direct algorithm for estimating land surface broadband albedos from MODIS imagery, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sen.*, 41(1):136-145;


Liang, S., J. Stroeve and J. Box, (2005), Mapping daily snow shortwave broadband albedo from MODIS: The improved direct estimation algorithm and validation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 110 (D10): Art. No. D10109.

# VIIRS shortwave albedo product





Validation results of 16-day mean albedo from VIIRS BRDF LUT (left), and MODIS (right), using data from 2012 non-snow seasons (May-September) at seven SURFRAD sites.

Wang, D., Liang, S., He, T., Yu, Y. 2013. Direct Estimation of Land Surface Albedo from VIIRS Data: Algorithm Improvement and Preliminary Validation. *JGR-Atmosphere*. 118, 12577-12586, doi: 10.1002/2013jd020417.



# Spectral vs. angular information in broadband albedo direct estimation




Hyperspectral information is **MORE** important than angular information in surface broadband albedo estimation for snow-free surfaces


Albedo estimation accuracy and view zenith angle (solar zenith angle=35°)

Variable	Value				
View zenith angle (°)	0	15	30	45	60
RMSE	0.0155	0.0100	0.0091	0.0104	0.0147
$R^2$	0.9181	0.9505	0.9493	0.9261	0.8596

# Validation of AVIRIS albedo estimates




(a)




(b)

Validation of surface shortwave albedos at sites from (a) AmeriFlux network and (b) UCI network

# Mapping surface albedo: AVIRIS vs. Landsat





# Estimation of shortwave net radiation



Kim, H.Y., & Liang, S. (2010). Development of a hybrid method for estimating land surface shortwave net radiation from MODIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114, 2393-2402

# Algorithm refinement for Landsat data




Comparison between instantaneous and daily SSNR (in  $\text{W/m}^2$ ) using the method of water vapor correction and in situ measurements at six AmeriFlux sites from 2003-2005.

Wang, D., & Liang, S. (2014). Improving LAI Mapping by Integrating MODIS and CYCLOPES LAI Products Using Optimal Interpolation. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 7, 445-457, doi: 10.1109/jstars.2013.2264870.



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND

# Estimating shortwave net radiation from AVIRIS




He, T., S. Liang, D. Wang, Q. Shi, and M. Goulden. **Estimation of high-resolution land surface net shortwave radiation from AVIRIS data: Algorithm development and preliminary results** submitted to RSE



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND

# Advantages of hyperspectral information in net shortwave radiation estimation



Conversion of cumulated radiation from AVIRIS bands to shortwave radiation ( $\text{W/m}^2$ )

Method B →

← Method A

Statistics of NSR direct estimation based on simulation data

RMSE ( $\text{W/m}^2$ )	Solar zenith angle ( $^\circ$ )					
	20	25	30	35	40	45
0	19.396	19.422	19.394	19.290	19.088	18.768
View zenith angle ( $^\circ$ )	19.412	19.434	19.429	19.358	19.192	18.906
10	19.369	19.403	19.397	19.346	19.210	18.954
15	19.276	19.319	19.312	19.267	19.150	18.921
20	19.159	19.186	19.184	19.130	19.026	18.815



$R^2$	Solar zenith angle ( $^\circ$ )					
	20	25	30	35	40	45
0	0.975	0.973	0.970	0.967	0.963	0.957
View zenith angle ( $^\circ$ )	0.975	0.973	0.970	0.967	0.962	0.957
10	0.975	0.973	0.970	0.967	0.962	0.956
15	0.976	0.973	0.971	0.967	0.962	0.957
20	0.976	0.974	0.971	0.968	0.963	0.957




UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND



# Impacts of water vapor estimation uncertainty on AVIRIS shortwave net radiation direct estimation

(He et al. 2014, *RSE*)




AVIRIS water vapor estimation has an overestimation compared with MODIS product. Net radiation estimation W/m<sup>2</sup> is more accurate using AVIRIS-derived water vapor.





# Shortwave downward and net radiation estimation: AVIRIS

(He et al. 2014, *RSE*)



Comparison of ground measurements AVIRIS downward radiation (a) and net radiation from Method A (b) and Method B (c) estimates ( $\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ ) at AmeriFlux sites.

Two methods had similar estimation accuracies. N is smaller in (b) than that in (c) because surface albedo estimates were not available under cloud/shadow conditions.



# Combined VSWIR and TIR to estimate clear-sky all-wave net radiation

- Previous methods to estimate all-wave net radiation
  - Component-based, summation of all the components
    - VSWIR: shortwave fluxes
    - TIR: long wave fluxes
  - Errors add up
- New method:
  - Combine VSWIR and TIR data to directly estimate all-wave net radiation as one integral quantity.

Wang, D., Liang, S., He, T., & Shi, Q. (2014). Estimating clear-sky all-wave net radiation from combined visible and shortwave infrared (VSWIR) and thermal infrared (TIR) remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing of Environment, under revision*.




# Validation: net radiation

- Seven SURFRAD stations.
- One year measurements.



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND

# Map from MASTER data



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND

# Conclusions

- Hyperspectral AVIRIS data can be used for estimating land surface shortwave albedo and net radiation accurately.
- Clear-sky all-wave net radiation can be directly estimated with improved accuracy from the synergic use of VSWIR and TIR data.
- More validations are needed for algorithm refinement and improvement.