

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Real-time detection of methane plumes by AVIRISng

David R. Thompson¹, Robert O. Green¹, Michael Eastwood¹, Ira Leifer² ¹Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology ² University of California, Santa Barbara

Copyright 2014 California Institute of Technology. This research has been performed at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA programmatic support through ESTO and Terrestrial Ecology programs is gratefully acknowledged.

Agenda

- Why real-time?
- Prior studies
- Our approach
 - Comparison of methods
 - Operational application
- Future directions





Why real-time remote sensing?

Image courtesy Wikicommons

Why real-time remote sensing?

In flight: Provide tactical feedback to pilots





JPL / HyspIRI W

Post-flight trace gas detection

Unambiguous source attribution

Reveal plume structure



Some prior work in real-time remote sensing

- Cloud detection [Thompson et al., TGRS 2014, Altinok et al. (in review)]
- Endmember detection [Thompson et al., TGRS 2013]
- Spectral band ratios by EO-1 [Chien et al., 2005]





10/28/14

Some prior work in trace gas detection

- Trace gas detection in marine seeps [Thorpe et al., *RSE* 2013, Roberts et al., *RSE* 2010]
- CO₂ [Dennison et al., RSE 2013]
- Band Ratios [Bradley et al., GRL 2011]
- ... and many others



Thorpe et al., SPIE 2012



Agenda

- Why real-time?
- Prior studies
- Our approach
 - Comparison of methods
 - Operational application
- Future directions







Kern River Oil Field, Bakersfield



Three detection methods

Continuum-Interpolated Band Ratio

Matched filter **Columnwise matched filter**

Increasing computational complexity

Continuum Interpolated Band Ratio (CIBR)



In-flight detections (examples)



Validation methods



(in plume) / (out of plume) ratio vs. modeled transmittance



Can we do better? Matched filter detection

Decide between hypotheses:

Target absent H_0 : $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ Target present H_1 : $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu + \alpha \mathbf{t}, \Sigma)$

Target signature

Optimal decision rule:

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mu})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu})}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mu})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mu})}}$$



A columnwise matched filter



Buffer blocks of 2000 lines, Apply one matched filter per column

Advantages

- Completely removes striping Challenges
- Just 2000 samples to estimate the 100x100 covariance matrix
- We must invert the matrix once per column

Dominant mode suppression [Manolakis et al., 2009]

1. Decompose covariance matrix (SVD)

2. Approximate the inverse using just the top *d eigenvalues*

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\boldsymbol{I} - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_i - \alpha}{\lambda_i} \right) \boldsymbol{q}_i \boldsymbol{q}_i^T \right] \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{p-d} \left(\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \right)$$

Advantages: few parameters, stable, fast. Regularized versions use diagonal loading





Example

Before

After





Conclusions

- We demonstrated detection of methane plumes in real time
- A columnwise matched filter performs real time CH₄ detection at 500MB/s
- Next steps:
 - Refine target signature (use Jacobians?)
 - Automatic geolocalization
 - Quantify sensitivity?



Thanks!

Christian Frankenberg, Andrew Thorpe, Andrew Aubrey, Dar Roberts. Didier Keymeulen, Brian Bue, Sarah Lundeen, Mark Helmlinger, Scott Nolte and the AVIRIS-NG team...

This research has been performed at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA programmatic support through ESTO and Terrestrial Ecology programs is gratefully acknowledged.