A Hyperspectral Thermal Infrared Imaging Instrument for Natural Resources Applications

<u>Martin Schlerf^{1,*}</u>, Gilles Rock², Philippe Lagueux³, Franz Ronellenfitsch¹, Max Gerhards², Lucien Hoffmann¹, Thomas Udelhoven^{1,2}

- ¹ CRP Gabriel Lippmann, Luxembourg
- ² University of Trier, Germany
- ³ Telops, Canada







Outline

- Motivation for setting up a HS-TIR platform
- The instrument setup (Telops Hypercam-LW)
- First experiment
 - Introduction
 - Objective
 - Methods
 - Some initial results
- Planned activities in HS-TIR research
- Conclusions



Motivation

- TIR data can be used for many important natural resources applications, e.g.
 - landscape characterization
 - estimation of evapotranspiration and soil moisture
 - drought monitoring
 - urban heat islands
 - air quality studies
- Fits well into departmental research line
- Complements regional multi-sensor airborne platform



Centre de Recherche Public (CRP-GL)

Department 'Environment and agro-biotechnologies' (EVA)



EVA

- = 120 staff (researchers, PhD students, technicians), 20 PI
- = interdisciplinary competences (agronomists, biologists, geographers, toxicologists, nutritionists, hydrologists, climatologists, engineers,....)



EVA: Four research lines using cutting-edge technologies



Hyperspectral RS activities



R&I programme EPOS: Ecosystem Processes at varying Scales

1. Remote sensing and in situ measurements



Multiple platform-sensor combinations to measure land surface attributes and fluxes

2. Ecohydrological models and regional climate models



Centre de Recherche Public Gabriel Lippmann

Carbon. nitrogen, and water cycling in an eco-system process model







estimates of ET

 Plant stress mapping

•Crop condition monitoring

3. Concepts at various scales



Earth observation equipment



SPAD



Sunphotometer





Centre de Recherche Public Gabriel Lippmann

GPS



ASD FieldSpec II & III+ Spectrometers (CRP)

er LiCor LAI 2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer









Quadrocopter (UT)

Multispectral

camera



Hyperspectral LWIR imager Telops Hyper-Cam (CRP)



VIS/NIR/SWIR hyperspectral camera HySpex (UT)

Common multi-sensor airborne platform with complementary sensors

Research Center Jülich (D) VITO (B) APEX (400-2500 nm) HyPlant: Data pre-processing capabilities Fluorescence sensor (650 – 800 nm) Storage capacities AISA Dual (400-2500 nm) CAE- Aviation (L) HySpex:

Telops Hypercam (8000-12000 nm) HPC-System Storage capacities (400-2500 nm) UAV (Md-1000) with MCA-Multispectral camera (400-1000 nm)

University of Trier (D)





Cooperation at lab/field level

C UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR



Image by Chris Hecker, ITC

Midac Illuminator 4401 FTIR



3-16 µm



Telops Hypercam-LW base instrument

- Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer
 - \rightarrow higher achievable SNR
- Michelson interferometer
- MCT focal plane array detector
 → adjustable acquisition area
- 2 internal calibration blackbodies
 → fast calibration
- Operability from -10°C to + 45°C
- Acceptable weight (30 kg)





Hyper-Cam-LW specifications

Parameter	Unit	Hyper-Cam-LW
Spectral Range	μm	7.7 – 12
Spectral Resolution	cm⁻¹	0.25 to 150 (user adjustable)
Image Format	_	320 x 256 pixels
Field of View	Degrees	6.4 x 5.1 (nominal)
	Degrees	25.6 x 20.4 (0.25X telescope)
Typical NESR	nW/cm ² srcm ⁻¹	< 20
R a d i o m e t r i c Accuracy	K	<1



Modification for vertical measurements

- Facilitates vertical measurements at ground level
- 45° tilted gold coated mirror that is located in the instrument's field of view
- 0.25x telescope
 - FOV at a sensor-target distance of 1.5 m is 672 x 538 mm
 - Resulting pixel size is 2.1 mm
- Airborne mode at 1500 m
 - FOV: 672 / 168 m
 - Pixel size: 2.1 / 0.53 m





Airborne platform

- Stabilization platform: dampens the airplane vibrations and compensates the airplane yaw
- Image Motion Compensator (IMC) mirror: compensates the airplane pitch, roll and forward motion
- GPS/INS unit: enables ortho-rectification and georeferencing



Sample preparation

- Rock and mineral samples
 - Sandstone from the Lower Trias (Bunter Sandstone)
 - Calcite
 - Quartz
- The rock sample was heated up (~30 K above ambient temperature)
- Measure sample T with contact thermometer.
- The sample was placed at 3 m distance to the sensor perpendicular to the optical axis of the camera.
- 64 x 20 Pixel, 109 Bands





Instrument calibration

- 2-point calibration
- cold and hot BB temperatures were set to 15°C and 65°C, respectively
- ambient temperature was 22°C
- Knowing the BB T and ε, BB spectral radiance was determined using the Planck function
- Calculation of gain and offset for every pixel
- Conversion of scene's raw spectra into calibrated radiance spectra





Instrument calibration



Background radiation

- Reflected or emitted radiance from background objects (walls and ceiling in the lab) significantly contribute to the target measurement
- Background radiation (downwelling radiance) was measured by collecting the radiance of a diffuse reflective aluminium plate
- The aluminium plate's exact temperature (ambient) was measured using a contact thermometer.
- The (unknown) emissivity of the aluminium plate was determined relative to an infragold target with known emissivity (measured with a Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer)
- The resulting overall emissivity value was 20% which is in good agreement with values found in literature.



Emissivity retrieval (summary)

- Assume constant emissivity in a certain region
 - Emissivity was assumed to have a certain fixed value over a defined wavelength region
 - ε was set to a value of 0.97 at the wavelength of the maximum brightness temperature following the approach by Kealy & Hook (1993).
- Fit Planck curve
 - This allowed to iteratively fitting a Planck radiance curve to the measured sample radiance spectrum.
 - The fitting was performed over wavebands from 850 to 905 wavenumbers.



Emissivity retrieval (details)

• Blackbody radiance was simulated in unit wavenumber σ, commonly used in spectroscopy as (http://www.spectralcalc.com)

$$L_{bb_{\sigma}}(T) = 2 \times 10^{8} hc^{2} \sigma^{3} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{100 hc\sigma}{kT} - 1}} Wm^{-2} sr^{-1} (cm^{-1})^{-1}$$

where, L_bb_{σ} is the spectral radiance emitted by a BB at the absolute temperature T for wavenumber σ , h is the Planck constant, k is the Boltzmann constant, and c is the speed of light.

• The blackbody radiance was then fitted to the measured sample radiance $L_{sa_{\sigma}}$ over the defined waveband region by adjusting T assuming the predefined emissivity ϵ_{σ} :

$$L_sa_{\sigma} = \varepsilon_{\sigma} \ L_bb_{\sigma}(T)$$

• Finally, spectral emissivity ε_{σ} was calculated as:





where L_dw_{σ} is the downwelling (background) radiance.

Centre de Recherche Public Gabriel Lippmann

Testing reproducibility

- Replicate measurements of the same sample material
- Acquisition of multiple data cubes in a short time interval (image subsets 64 x 20 pixels, spectral res. of 6.2 cm⁻¹)
- BS sample heated up to 60°C
- 20 frames were captured within 30 s (cooling of sample <0.5 K)
- 3 runs, thus 58 frames were measured (two frames were removed)
- From 58 emissivity spectra computation of mean and standard deviation



Reference spectra

Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR



Image by Chris Hecker, ITC

- Same rock samples were measured at ITC lab
- Bruker Vertex 70
 FTIR spectrometer
- Measurement protocol as described in Hecker et al. 2012
 - DHR measurements
 - Emissivity=1-DHR



Results: Reproducability

Bunter Sandstone



- standard deviations <0.01
- variation coefficients of up to 1.25%
- → good
 reproducibility
- Hecker et al. (2011) with lab instrument: variation coefficients of 0.25%-1.75%



Results: Emissivity spectra

Bunter Sandstone



- relatively good agreement of emissivity values
 - best left/right of the quartz doublet
 - less at the doublet
- Good agreement of the positions of the minima at 10,800 cm⁻¹ and 12,200 cm⁻¹



Results: Emissivity spectra





Results: Emissivity spectra



Results: Spatial variability of emissivity



- clear variation of emissivity over the sandstone surface (not obvious from the image in the visible)
- dominant matrix of emissivity values of 0.81-0.83 (green)
- marked areas
 - with much smaller values of 0.76-0.78 (blue)
 - larger values of around 0.86-0.88 (red).
- Influential factors: material, surface structure, viewing angle, geometry, temperature, etc.





Mapping rocks





Next steps

- Use a better TES algorithm
- Correct for atmosphere effects
- Extent to other surface materials
- Extent previous lab study on plant species discrimination to canopies





Planned research activities / ideas

- Mapping of water-deficit stress in agricultural crops for improved water management (1 PhD started 2012 + 1 PhD student start 2013)
- Photosynthetic activity of plants (HyPlant +Hypercam) (within FLEX)
- Urban heat island effect in the City of Luxembourg (Hypercam+HySpex+Lidar)
- Air quality studies
- CRP is interested in cooperation and in providing services to third parties



Time line

- April 2012: Delivery of Hypercam
- May/June 2012: First experiments
- July 2012: Summerschool
- August/September 2012: More experiments
- October 2012: Shipping to Telops
- Januar 2013: Delivery of airborne module
- March 2013: Processing scheme operation (VITO)
- April 2013: Installation to aircraft (CAE)
- May 2013: First test flight in Luxembourg



Conclusions

- Initial results look promising:
 - Successful retrieval of mineral and rock emissivities at lab scale
- A lot of work still needs to be done
- First airborne test campaign foreseen in summer 2013



A Hyperspectral Thermal Infrared Imaging Instrument for Natural Resources Applications

<u>Martin Schlerf^{1,*}</u>, Gilles Rock², Philippe Lagueux³, Franz Ronellenfitsch¹, Max Gerhards², Lucien Hoffmann¹, Thomas Udelhoven^{1,2}

- ¹ <u>CRP Gabriel Lippmann, Luxembourg</u>
- ² University of Trier, Germany
- ³ Telops, Canada





